ISSN: 2582-7561



International Journal For Academic Research & Development

Vol.1 (2020)

(Multidisciplinary)

E-mail Id: editor@iifard.org url: www.iifard.org/about-journal/



Demand Analysis for Solid Fule and its Substitutes as domestic Energy in IMO State: Application of Quadratic almost Ideal Demand System (QUAIDS)

Chukwuemeka Ehirm Nnamdi¹ and Emmanuel Osuji Emeka²

¹Department of Agricultural Economics, Federal University of Technology Owerri, Imo State, Nigeria ²Department of Agricultural Economics, Michael Okpara University of Agriculture Umudike, Nigeria

Abstract

This study modeled the demand analysis for solid fuel and its substitute for domestic cooking energy among household in Imo State. Data on socio-economic characteristics of the respondents, monthly expenditure on energy used for domestic cooking, unit prices and quantity of different energy sources were collected using a multi-stage sampling technique from 262 households I Imo State. Data were analyzed using descriptive, quartile distribution and QUAIDS inferential statistics to achieve the objectives of the study. The empirical analysis of the demand for the household energy usage revealed that the quadratic expenditure term is statistically significant in firewood, sawdust and wood-shaving expenditure share equations implying that their null hypothesis of expenditure linearity is strongly rejected. Furthermore, their prices and demographics of the household head significantly influence the budget shares of the different energy used. Expenditure elasticities of all the energy sources are elastic, own price (Marshallian and Hicksian) of firewood, sawdust and kerosene are price elastic while charcoal and wood shaving are price inelastic. The Uncompensated Marshallian's cross elasticities of almost all energy sources are complementary. However, the result of the compensated-Hicksian's cross elasticities values indicated that almost all the energy uses are substitutable except for firewood – charcoal, firewood-wood shaving, firewood-kerosene and sawdust-wood shaving that are complementary. This indicated that the timber products and its substitutes demand for domestic cooking obey both energy ladder and stacking principles as households could quickly switch to a better energy source at the same time exhibit their dynamism in the ability to combine both traditional and modern fuels to meet their domestic energy needs based on price and affordability. The study therefore, recommends that younger females in the household should be targeted in demonstrating the demand for cleaner energy using educational facilities and reduction in unit prices of such energy in the area.

Keywords: Demand system, solid fuel, QUAIDS, Energy ladder and stacking principles

Introduction

In Nigeria, there are abundant traditional energy resources comprising mostly biomass resources, such as fuel wood and allied products at one end and transition and/or modern energy sources such as kerosene, liquefied petroleum gas and electricity at another end, both for domestic cooking usage. Most household cooking involves the use of solid and non-solid fuels, out of which over three billion people, which is about 50% of the world population largely depends on solid fuel energy sources (fuel wood, dung and agricultural residues) and coals to meet their most basic energy needs (Staton and Harding, 2011; Desalu et al. 2012). Heavy reliance on solid fuel is due to the nexus between poverty and energy use pattern in terms of quality and quantity of energy. Generally, major proportion of poor households use across the rural, peri-urban and urban areas mostly use forest wood (popularly called firewood) because of its affordability and many could not afford to purchase sophisticated energy equipment such as gas cookers, electric cookers. In addition, the rising prices of modern fuels such as liquefied petroleum gas (LPG) and electricity and their erratic supply have made many households revert to the use of traditional fuels such as firewood and charcoal (Ogwumike et al., 2014).



©IJARD Vol. 1, Issue 1 2020

The persistent use of solid fuel as household cooking energy is the major cause forest degradation, and land degradation in Nigeria. According to Sambo (2009), the use of fuel wood for domestic and commercial uses is a chief cause of desertification in the arid-zone and erosion in the southern part of Nigeria. The most worrisome dimension is the increasing percentage of households using solid fuel in Nigeria. More than 70%, including 86% of households in rural areas and 42% of households in urban areas and about 94% of Nigerian population were using an open fire/stove without a chimney or hood (Gwatkin et al., 2000 and Desalu et al., 2012). The fact that the indoor pollution and unsafe levels of toxic emission generated from the use solid fuels as domestic energy is dangerous, unhealthy and even less cost effective (Viegi et al., 2004; Desalu et al., 2012). These unhealthy conditions accounted for 1.5 to 2 million deaths per year Worldwide, with about 50% of them occurring in children below 5 years. The major health effect is the acute respiratory infections (ARI) and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and lung cancer among women (Naeher et al., 2007; Fullertona et al., 2008 and Ezzati and Kammen, 2002). In addition, Desalu et al., (2010) had linked increasing risk of respiratory morbidity and chronic bronchitis with high usage of biomass fuels for domestic cooking in South-West, Nigeria. Other health effects include: acute respiratory infection, low birth weight and eye problems in Africa (World Bank, 2006).

Increasing demand for solid fuel for domestic energy does not close the gap created by excess disposable income allocated to budget share on domestic fuel for cooking in most household. The justification for increased domestic energy demand for cooking is expected to be a low budget share for domestic fuel. However, the cost implication of domestic fuel as well as household expenditure and management of chronic respiratory condition resulting from exposure to indoor pollution in a resource poor setting is largely over-bearing. Hence concerted efforts need to be geared towards preventive measures against indoor pollution from household fuel. The issue of major concern is that the households cannot control the excessive use of solid fuel for domestic energy without knowing the nature of demand for these commodities in the state. Although, in recognition of the adverse effects of the use of timber products as household fuels, the United Nation Millennium Project recommends reducing the number of households that depend on timber products for cooking fuel by 50% in 2015, which implies about 1.3 billion people switching to cleaner fuel (IEA, 2006). However, this recommendation had not yielded desired results as the rate of consumption of timber-energy (and other biomass fuels) and its attendant negative environmental and health impacts are still alarming. Instead, the consumption of fuel wood which was a rural practice have now gained acceptance in urban areas putting undue pressure on the forest resources (Nicolai et al., 2008).

Efforts at encouraging households to make substitution to clean and efficient energy sources with less adverse environmental, social, and health impacts have been advocated. More so, a number of policies have been implemented by public authorities to decrease household wood-energy consumption and to substitute it by alternative conventional fuels. However, there exist serious knowledge gaps about what determine the household demand pattern of timber products in Nigeria, and particularly in Imo State. More importantly, there is need to encourage households to make fuel substitution that will result in more efficient energy use and less adverse environmental, social, and health impacts. This foregoing necessitated the demand analysis for timber products and substitutes as domestic energy in Imo state: using quadratic almost ideal demand system with specific objectives to describe the socioeconomic characteristics of the households and estimate the budget share and model the demand system of timber products and its substitute used in the study area.



Research Methodology

The study was conducted in Imo State, Nigeria. Imo State lies within latitudes 4°45'N and 7°15'N, and longitude 6°50'E and 7°25'E with an area of around 5,100 sq. km. It is bordered by Abia State on the East, by the River Niger and Delta State on the west, by Anambra State to the north and Rivers State to the south. Imo State is subdivided into 27 Local Government Areas (LGAs) and has a total population of 3,934,899 persons with a population density that varies from 230 persons per square kilometer in the densely populated areas (NPC, 2006). Occupation of people in the area includes public service, trading and artisan and farming, both as full and partial occupation. The target population is the member of the household that makes budget decisions on domestic energy in most homes in the study area.

The study adopted a multi-Stage sampling technique. Stage one involves a purposive selection of one LGA in each agricultural zone of the state to ensure a proper representation of the state. The selected LGAs were Nwangele, Owerri North and Okigwe L.G.As from Orlu, Owerri and Okigwe zones respectively. The second stage involves random selection of 270 households across the already selected LGAs from the three 3 zones in the state. A list of households with the National Population Commission (NPC) was used to draw 20% of the households from each LGA already selected. This gave about 107 households in Nwangele, 92 households 92 from Owerri North and 71 household from Okigwe LGA. The third stage was a purposive selection of the socio-cultural disposition of the people in the state about who manages the domestic activities of the household. The study found only 262 responses; 102 from Nwangele, 90 from Owerri North and 70 from Okigwe North useful for analyses.

The study used both primary data of energy sources of data collection. Primary data include use of questionnaire and personal interviews. The questionnaire will elicit information on socio-economic characteristics of the respondents such as age, household size, and educational status of the household head, spouse and monthly expenditure on energy used for domestic cooking. Also, information on unit prices and quantity of different energy sources was collected to determine the budget shares of the en-



ergy sources.

The data was analyzed using descriptive and inferential statistics to achieve the objectives of the study. The descriptive statistics such as frequency distribution, percentages and mean will be used to achieve describe the socioeconomic characteristics of the households and quartile distribution was used to estimate the budget share and QUAIDS was used to model the demand system of timber products and its substitute used in the study area.

Analytical Techniques

A typical QUAIDS model can be expressed as:

$$w_{i} = \alpha_{i} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \gamma_{ij} ln P_{j} + \beta_{i} ln \left[\frac{E_{F}}{a(p)} \right] + \frac{\lambda_{i}}{b(p)} \left[ln \left\{ \frac{E_{F}}{a(p)} \right\} \right]^{2} + \sum_{s=1}^{L} \delta_{is} z_{s} + u_{i}$$
(1)

Where

 w_i = household's expenditure share of ith energy source group for household domestic cooking, i=1, 2, 3, 4 and 5

 w_1 = share of firewood

 w_2 = share of sawdust

 w_3 = share of charcoal

 w_4 = share of wood-shaving

 w_5 = share of kerosene

 P_i = price of energy source i (N/unit) for i = 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5

 P_1 = price of a bundle of firewood (N/kg)

 P_2 = price of a bag of sawdust (N/kg)

 $P_3 =$ price of a bag of charcoal (N/kg)

 P_4 = price of a bag of wood shaving (N/kg)

 P_5 = price of litre of kerosene (N/litre)

 E_F = household's total expenditure on all energy sources for domestic cooking in the demand system (N/week)

 z_s = demographic variables included in the demand system model

 z_1 = educational level of the household head (years spent in school)

 z_2 = educational level of the household head's spouse (years spent in school)

 z_3 = occupation of the household head (Civil service =1, Trader = 2, Farmer = 3, Artisan = 4)

 z_4 = household size (number of persons).

 u_i = random error following normal distribution.

$$lna(p) = \alpha_0 + \sum \alpha_i lnp_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum y_{ij} lnp_i lnp_j$$
(2)
$$b(p) = \prod P_i^{\beta_j} \qquad \lambda(p) = \sum \lambda_i lnp_i$$
(3)

We put the following restrictions in order to satisfy the demand theory: Adding-up and homogeneity require $\sum \beta_i = 0, \sum \lambda_i = 0, \sum y_{ij} = 0, \sum \alpha_i = 1$

Symmetry $\gamma_{ij} = \gamma_{ji}$, for $i \neq j$

Here w_i is the budget share of domestic energy source *i* in total energy expenditure.

Note that if $\lambda_i = 0$, the second order term in equation (1) vanishes and it degenerates to an ordinary AIDS model. Using the price index in equation (2) encounters the estimation difficulties as a result of non-linearity in parameters. The theory of the household does not provide any empirical plausible value for α_0 . In practice, the stone price index is widely used for approximation. It is so-called LA/AIDS model and

International Journal For Academic Research and Development ©IJARD Vol. 1, Issue 1 2020

$$\ln(p^*) = \sum_k W_i \ln(p_i) \tag{4}$$

Since prices are never perfectly collinear, applying Stone Price index will introduce the measurement errors of the units which can be solved if the prices are scaled by the means and become a price index P_i . Thus, the stone Price index becomes

$$\ln(p^L) = \sum_k W_i \ln(p_i) \tag{5}$$

Taking the first order derivative of equation (1) with respect to expenditure and prices, yield intermediate results in equations 6 and 7.

$$\mu_i = \frac{\delta w_i}{\delta ln E_F} = \beta_i + \frac{2\lambda}{b(p)} \left[ln \left\{ \frac{E_F}{a(p)} \right\} \right]$$
(6)

$$\mu_{ij} = \frac{\delta w_i}{\delta lnpj} = \gamma_{ij} - \mu_i \left(\alpha_j + \sum_k \gamma_{jk} lnp_k \right) - \frac{\lambda_i \beta_j}{b(p)} \left[ln \left\{ \frac{E_F}{a(p)} \right\} \right]^2 \tag{7}$$

The expenditure elasticities are derived by:

$$e_i = 1 + \frac{\mu_i}{w_i} \tag{8}$$

The uncompensated price elasticities are derived by:

$$e_i^{\ u} = \frac{\mu_{ij}}{w_i} - \delta_{ij} \tag{9}$$

The Hicksian or compensated price elasticities are obtained from the slutsky equations as:

$$e_i^{\ c} = e_i^{\ u} + w_j e_i \tag{10}$$

Results and Discussion

The distribution of the socio-economic characteristics of the domestic energy users is presented in Table 1. It was indicated that 31.68% of the respondents were in the age range of 55 - 64 years with average of 47.16 years. This implied that the solid fuel users in the study area had youth who possess the ability to switch among energy sources available to them which possess economic, efficient and high aesthetic value.

Table 1: Socio-economic characteristics of the Households					
Socio-economic variables	Freq	Freq Relative Frequency			
Age (years)					
25 - 34	32	12.21	47.16years		
35 - 44	61	23.28			
45 - 54	62	23.66			
55 - 64	83	31.68			
65 - 74	24	9.16			
Gender					
Female	173	66.03			
Male	89	33.97			
Marital Status					
Married	190	72.52			
Single	53	20.23			
Widowed	19	7.25			
Educational Status					

International Journal For Academic Research and Development

©IJARD Vol. 1, Issue 1 2020

			2
No formal education	100	38.17	
Primary School Completed	73	27.86	
Secondary School Completed	61	23.28	
Post-Secondary School Graduate	28	10.69	
Educational Status of Spouse			
No formal education	140	53.44	
Primary School Completed	96	36.64	
Secondary School Completed	17	6.49	
Post-Secondary School Graduate	9	3.44	
Major Occupation			
Civil Servant	15	9.68	
Traders	20	8.39	
Farmers	204	73.55	
Artisan	23	8.39	
Monthly Income (N)			
1,000 - 20,000	86	32.82	N 35,881.68
21,000 - 40,000	51	19.47	
41,000 - 60,000	83	31.68	
Above 60,000	42	16.03	
Household size (No of persons)			
1-4	83	21.29	6persons
5 - 8	108	50.32	
9-12	68	26.45	
13 – 16	3	1.94	

Source: Field survey, 2019.

This is in line with Adepoju *et al.* (2012), Ogwuche and Asobo (2013). About 66.03% of them were female implies that more female takes decisions on the type and quality of energy source to be utilized by the households in the study area which is consistent with Ogwuche and Asobo (2013) that women are better decision makers on the energy choice and domestic facilities suitable for the households. In addition, about 72.52% of them were married implies that majority of the respondents are married and are tends to consume more energy for domestic cooking usage as marital status tends to positively correlate with the household size. About 38.17% of them had no formal education while only 10.69% of them had post-secondary school certificate, in the same vein, 53.44% of them had no formal education.

Educated respondents and spouse tend to adopt non solid energy sources rather than solid energy sources which are predominant among uneducated respondents. About 73.55% of them were farmers indicating their closeness to natural vegetation, which could make them to use firewood for domestic cooking more than other energy sources. The average monthly income is N35, 881.68 indicates a low disposable income, which could influence both their budget share for domestic energy and the choice of cost effective energy option in a very short run. This low income level can equally affect the flexibility of shifting to the desired cleaner domestic fuel, which Adepoju *et al.* (2012); Ogwuche and Asobo (2013) and Desalu *et al.* (2012) in their separate studies opined that it could be very cost effective in the long run. The household size of the domestic energy users showed that 50.32% of between had household size of 5 - 8 persons with the average household size of 6 persons. This implies a predominantly large household sized populace in the area which could influence the pattern and quantity of energy usage for cooking.

Table 2: Budget Share and Total Expenditure of the Different Energy Sources for Domestic Cooking

©IJARD Vol. 1, Issue 1 2020

Energy share	All households	1 st	2^{nd}	3 rd	4 th
w1(firewood)	0.1734	0.1469	0.1853	0.1924	0.1723
	(0.0744)	(0.0525)	(0.0976)	(0.0882)	(0.0346)
w2(sawdust)	0.0178	0.0264	0.0174	0.0168	0.0109
	(0.0084)	(0.0110)	(0.0031)	(0.0047)	(0.0031)
w3(charcoal)	0.5970	0.6195	0.2921	0.6302	0.0109
	(0.0957)	(0.0678)	(0.1355)	(0.0047)	(0.0031)
w4(wood-shaving)	0.0182	0.0241	0.0204	0.0158	0.0125
	(0.0075)	(0.0078)	(0.0085)	(0.0035)	(0.0019)
w5(kerosene)	0.1936	0.1832	0.2136	0.1448	0.2319
	(0.0725)	(0.0285)	(0.1083)	(0.0530)	(0.0411)
Expenditure	1284.3122	904.3701	1199.2361	1516.2272	1508.9197
	(270.2915)	(84.0531)	(71.1978)	(72.7345)	(114.9572)

Household Energy Expenditure quartiles

Source: Field Survey Data, 2019

Table 2 reveals that the richest households have the largest share of kerosene (23%) across all income groups, reflecting in conformity with energy shifting model that household shifts from low efficient energy source to high efficient energy source as their income increases. However, it was also indicated that expenditure on charcoal was highest among the households in the 1st and 3rd quartiles groups' i.e 61.95% and 63.02% respectively. Sawdust had the lowest budget share across the quartiles with least values among the 4th quartile household category. Expectedly, expenditure on energy use increases from 1st quartile through the 3rd quartile and later become lower for the 4th quartile which depicts energy stacking that households do not wholly abandon inefficient fuels in favour of efficient ones, instead they integrated modern fuels slowly into energy-use patterns, resulting in the contemporaneous use of different cooking fuels. This scenario provides empirical support to the assertion that relationship between demands for energy and income is not always linear as it follows complementary energy switching and stacking principles.

The determinants of the household demand for energy sources in the study area using QUAIDS model is presented on Table 3. The iterated feasible generalized non-linear least squares (IFGNL) estimation method, with the theoretical restrictions of adding up, homogeneity and symmetry imposed during estimation in the estimation of the parameters of the QUAIDS model using Stata 13. This IFGNL method of estimation aims to address heteroscedasticity in the residuals while adhering to economic theory. The empirical analysis of the demand for the household energy usage revealed that the quadratic expenditure term (Lnexpenditure)² is statistically significant in firewood, sawdust and wood-shaving that the null hypothesis of expenditure linearity is strongly rejected but the hypothesis that the quadratic expenditure term is zero is accepted in charcoal and kerosene equation suggesting the preference of QUAIDS for model to AIDS model and in line with Marius (2016) and Fashogbon and Oni (2013). Moreover, also, the demographic effect is highly significant in the model.

As shown in Table 3, the demand for firewood was positively determined by expenditure, square(expenditure), prices of firewood and kerosene at p<0.01 while prices of charcoal and wood shaving, educational status of the household head and spouse had negative significant effects on the budget share of firewood. This implies that demand of firewood increases as the prices of firewood and kerosene increases, in that as the price of firewood increases, the household gradually observed energy stacking principle by simultaneously complementing firewood. This also reflected in their expenditure which increases the budget share of firewood as it increases (Nicolai and Fiona, 2008). However, increases prices of charcoal and wood-shaving causes reduction in the demand of firewood, because the household would prefer efficient energy source like charcoal and wood shaving rather



stick with less efficient energy sources like firewood. In the same vein, educational status of the respondents had inverse relationship with demand for firewood because educated individuals would go for efficient energy sources because of their knowledge and exposure.

For sawdust model; positive determinants of its demand are price of sawdust, square (expenditure) while educational level of the head, occupation and price of kerosene at p<0.01 had negative effect on the demand of sawdust. This indicated that price of sawdust increases its budget share, same as its expenditure even as its non-linear form as indicated by significant square (expenditure) (Madukwe, 2014). It also indicated that educational level of the household head and their occupation reduces their demand for sawdust. Educated household heads would shift to more efficient energy sources while individuals with high earning income would equally use efficient energy sources (Ogunniyi et al., 2012).

Variables	Firewood	Sawdust	Charcoal	Wood-shaving	Kerosene
Constant	14.3239	-0.2091	-13.8371	-1.6064	2.3287
	(20.0000)**	(-1.2200)	(-11.5800)**	(-9.1400)**	(2.2500)**
Ln(Expenditure)	1.6113	0.0912	-1.5464	-0.2219	-0.0647
	(18.4400)**	(2.8100)**	(-8.4300)**	(-6.6800)**	(0.1531)
Ln(Expenditure) ²	0.0126	0.0113	-0.0072	-0.0050	-0.0118
	(2.8000)**	(6.1300)**	(-0.8000)	(-3.2000)**	(-1.3000)
Ln(Price of firewood)	13.8644				
	(12.2600)**				
Ln(Price of sawdust)	-0.1528	0.1663			
	(-1.0000)	(5.3600)**			
Ln(Price of charcoal)	-14.0180	0.1662	14.7980		
	(-	(0.8800)	(7.6100)**		
	11.2700)**	(0.0000)	(7.0100)		
Ln(Price of wood- shaving	-1.6786	0.0025	1.6268	0.2184	
8	(-	$\langle 0, 0000 \rangle$	(0, (0,0,0),**	(2.0500)**	
	11.2400)**	(0.0800)	(9.0000)***	(3.9500)***	
Ln(Price of kerosene)	1.9851	-0.1822	-2.5730	-0.1691	0.9393
	(2.0800)**	(-1.9200)*	(-2.2900)**	(-1.2900)	(2.3200)**
Educational level	-0.0688	-0.0064	-0.0599	0.0072	-0.0047
	(-6.1100)**	(- 6.3000)**	(-4.3000)**	(7.3200)**	(-0.6900)
Educational level_sp.	-0.0152	0.0007	0.0220	0.0006	-0.0082
	(-2.4700)**	(1.4800)	(3.2300)**	(1.1000)	(- 3.1200)**
Occupation	0.0017	-0.0002	-0.0023	0.0000	0.0004
	(1.7100)	(- 2.0500)**	(-1.9500)**	(-0.4100)	(0.6300)
Household size	-0.0007	0.0001	0.0007	0.0001	-0.0001
	(-1.2800)	(1.1600)	(1.1200)	(1.2200)	(-0.3200)

Table 3: Determinants of Household Demand of Energy Source for domestic cooking

** Significant @ 1% and * significant @ 5%.

Source: Field Survey Data, 2019.

For the charcoal model, prices of charcoal and wood-shaving, educational level of the household head and spouse were positive determinants of budget share of charcoal while expenditure, price of kerosene and occupation of the household head were negative determinants at p<0.01. This implies that increase in price of charcoal and wood-shaving increase the budget share for charcoal in the household energy expenditure and higher educational level of respondents would increase the



©IJARD Vol. 1, Issue 1 2020

consumption of efficient energy source like charcoal rather than shift to less efficient ones (Maserea *et al.*, 2000).. However, increase in price of kerosene would induce the need for the household to go for charcoal which is a cheaper energy source, and this would increase its demand, budget share and ultimately increases its price. Also, as energy expenditure increases significantly, it reduces the budget share of charcoal as the household shift to more efficient energy source. Household head with occupation that earned high income level would budget higher expenditure for energy and would therefore sought for efficient ones (Pachauri and Spreng, 2003). This obeys the principle of energy ladder hypothesis which indicated that household with high income level are likely to have higher energy expenditure, particularly as they sought for efficient energy sources.

For wood-shaving model, price of wood-shaving has positive significant effect on budget share of wood-shaving while educational level, expenditure in both linear and non-linear forms had negative effects on its budget share. The implication of this is that wood-shaving; being a less efficient energy source would be less regarded among the educated households and would be consumed less when the energy expenditure increases in the face of increasing household wealth status and income level. However, budget share on kerosene positively relates with its price and educational level of energy user in the household. It follows that highly educated respondents, particularly woman would take a decision to demand for kerosene rather than any timber products, which are considered less efficient and environmental friendly.

Table 4, present estimates of the expenditure, Marshallian and Hicksian own and cross price elasticities respectively.

Sources						
Variables	Firewood	Sawdust	Charcoal	Wood-shaving	Kerosene	
		E	xpenditure e	lasticity		
Expenditure	8.057852	-7.58837	-1.14621	-4.61394	2.616795	
	Com	pensated (H	icksian's ow	n and cross elasticitie	es)	
Firewood	-2.75188	-0.12336	1.130876	0.006426	1.7379364	
Sawdust	-1.04935	1.258401	-0.60347	1.38154	-0.98712633	
Charcoal	0.331087	-0.01367	0.847382	-0.0195	-1.1453035	
Wood-shaving	0.01526	1.371621	-0.58406	-0.46416	-0.33865	
Kerosene	1.53835	-0.09222	-3.515	-0.02924	2.09810	
Uncompensated (Marshallian's own and cross elasticities)						
Firewood	-4.1488	-0.26704	-3.67964	-0.14022	17784027	
Sawdust	0.266178	1.393707	3.926776	1.519639	0.48207305	
Charcoal	0.529794	0.006768	1.531666	0.001363	-0.92338414	
Wood-shaving	0.815136	1.453891	2.170447	-0.38019	0.55465371	
Kerosene	1.084701	-0.13888	-5.07722	-0.07686	1.5914625	

Table 4: Estimation of the Own, Cross Price and Expenditure Elasticity for Domestic Energy Sources

Source: Field Survey Data, 2019

As shown in Table 4, expenditure elasticities of all the energy sources are greater than unity which means all the energy sources are expenditure elastic with firewood having the highest expenditure elasticity followed by sawdust, wood shaving, kerosene and charcoal respectively. Only firewood and kerosene were positive which indicated that they are normal goods and others are inferior goods (Koutsoyiannis, 2003; Ben-chendo et al., 2017). In terms of magnitude, all energy sources have expenditure elasticity greater than unity which means they are expenditure elastic and not necessity that households cannot do without, it indicated that household is not tied to a particular energy source as they can swift to another energy source if the price of the one that are currently using goes up or



become shortage in supply, this also elucidated the principle of energy ladder hypothesis that household quickly switch to a better energy source as their income increases.

The diagonal estimates on the Table 4 represent the own price elasticities while on the off diagonal indicates the cross price elasticities. Only own price elasticities of firewood and sawdust have negative as expected as they obeyed the law of demand. In terms of magnitude, firewood, sawdust and kerosene are price elastic while charcoal and wood shaving are price inelastic and this emphasized the dynamism in the ability of households to combine both traditional and modern fuels to meet their domestic energy needs based on price and affordability. Based on the uncompensated price elasticity estimates, koutosyiannis (2003) opine that the positive cross elasticity values indicates substitutability while negative signs indicated complementarily of two goods. Based on the uncompensated Marshallian cross elasticities, almost all energy sources are complementary except for firewood-sawdust, firewood-charcoal, firewood-wood shaving, charcoal-kerosene and kerosene-sawdust they are substitutable as the negative cross elasticities indicated. However, the result of the compensated- Hicksian's cross elasticities values indicated that almost all the energy uses are substitutable except for firewood - charcoal, firewood-wood shaving, firewood-kerosene and sawdust-wood shaving that are complementary as the positive cross-elasticities implies. In all, Hicksian approach provides the better estimates because it accounts for compensation variation which gives true picture of welfare effect (Varian, 1992; Fashogbon and Oni, 2013). Hence, Hicksian elasticity estimates would give better policy direction

Conclusion

This study made an attempt in modeling demand analysis for timber and its substitute for domestic cooking in Imo State household using QUAIDS modeling. It was inferred from this study that expenditure on energy use increases from across the expenditure quartile groups which depicts energy stacking in that households do not wholly abandon inefficient fuels in favour of efficient ones, rather integrated modern fuels slowly into energy-use patterns, resulting in the contemporaneous use of different cooking fuels. In the same vein, as energy expenditure increases significantly on energy usage due to change in occupational status, education, and higher income, budget shares of some energy source reduces as the household shift to more efficient energy source. Expenditure elasticities of all the energy sources are elastic which emphasized that household is not tied to a particular energy source as they can swift to another energy source depending. This elucidated the principle of energy ladder hypothesis that household quickly switch to a better energy source as their income increases at the same time exhibit their dynamism in the ability to combine both traditional and modern fuels to meet their domestic energy needs based on price and affordability.

Recommendations

The study therefore recommends the following;

- i. Educational status of the respondents had inverse relationship with demand for firewood because educated individuals would go for efficient energy sources because of their knowledge and exposure. Sanitary inspectors and environmental extension officers should organize training for domestic energy users on the importance of cleaner energies as domestic fuel.
- ii. Policy makers should take advantage of the shifting demand horizon of the respondents which enhances the preference of cleaner energy as a unit reduction in their prices as the respondents' income, budget share increases.
- iii. Public intervention programme on the device for cleaner energy should target younger females' members of the households who make decisions on type of domestic fuel use as energy in the area.

References

International Journal For Academic Research and Development

©IJARD Vol. 1, Issue 1

2020

- 1. Abler, D. (2010). "Demand Growth in Developing Countries", OECD Food, Agriculture and Fisheries. Working Papers, No. 29, OECD Publishing, http://dx.doi.ora/10.1787/5km91p2xcsd4en
- 2. Adepoju, A.O., Oyekale, A.S. and Aromolaran, O., (2012). Factors Influencing Domestic Energy Choice of Rural Households in Ogun State, Nigeria Journal of Agriculture & Social Sciences 8: 129–134.
- 3. Ben-Chendo, G.N, Gbolagun, A.O, Ehirim, N.C, Obasi, P.C, Nwaiwu I. U. O and Essien U. A, (2017). Demand Analysis for Household Consumption of Garri in Imo State. Elixir Agriculture 106:46537-46540.
- 4. Bruce, N, Perez-Padilla, R, Albalak, R. (2000). Indoor air pollution in developing countries: a major environmental and public health challenge. Bull World Health Organ. 78(9):1078-92.
- 5. Desalu, O.O., Ojo, O.O., Ariyibi, A.E., Kolawole, T.F., and Ogunleye, A. I., (2012). "Community survey of the pattern and determinants of household sources of energy for cooking in rural and urban South -Western, Nigeria". Pan African Medical Journal, 12(3):12-17.
- 6. *Ezzati, M., and Kammen, D.M. (2002). The health impacts of exposure to indoor air pollution from solid fuels in developing countries: knowledge, gaps, and data needs. Environ Health Perspect. 110(11):1057-68.*
- Fashogbon, A. E. and Oni, O. A. (2013). "Heterogeneity in Rural Household Food Demand and Its Determinants in Ondo State, Nigeria: An Application of Quadratic Almost Ideal Demand System". Journal of Agricultural Science, 5(2):13-18.
- 8. Fullertona, D. G, Brucec, N, and Gordon, S.B. (2008). Indoor air pollution from biomass fuel smoke is a major health concern in the developing world. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 102 (9):843-51.
- 9. Gwatkin, D.R, Rutstein S, Johnson K, Pande R.P, and Wagstaff, A., (2000). Socio-economic differences in health, nutrition, and population. HNP/Poverty Thematic Group. Washington, D.C.: World Bank; 2000.
- 10. Hong, C. (1995). Global burden of disease from air pollution. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization IEA (International Energy Agency). (2006). World Energy Outlook, Paris.
- 11. Koutsoyiannis, A. (2003). Theory of Econometrics, Macmillian Press Ltd. London.
- 12. Madukwe, C. E. (2014). Domestic energy usage pattern of Households in selected Urban and Rural Communities of Enugu State. M.Sc. Dissertation submitted to Institute for Development Studies University of Nigeria Enugu campus
- 13. Masera, O. R., Saatkamp, B. and Kammen, D. M. (2000). "From linear fuel switching to multiple cooking strategies: a critique and alternative to the energy ladder model". World Development, 28 (12): 12-28
- 14. Meyer, S., Xiaohua Yu and Abler, D. (2011). Comparison of Several Demand Systems. Selected Presentations in the Agricultural and Applied Economics Association, Pittsburgh. US.
- 15. Naeher, L., Brauer, M., Lipsett, M., Zelikoff, J., Simpson, C., and Koenig J. (2007). Woodsmoke health effects: a review. InhalToxicol., 19(1):67-106.
- 16. Nolte, C. G, Schauer, J.J, Cass, G.R, and Simoneit, B.R. (2001). Highly polar organic compounds present in wood smoke and in the ambient atmosphere. Environ Sci Technol. 15(10):19-29.
- 17. Ogunniyi, L.T., Adepoju, A.A, and Olapade-Ogunwole, F. (2012). Household Energy Consumption Pattern in Ogbomoso Metropolis, Oyo State Nigeria, Agricultural Economics 6 (1): 10-16.
- 18. Ogwumike, F.O., Uchechukwu, M. O. and Abiona, G.A., (2014). Household Energy Use and Determinants: Evidence from Nigeria International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy 49(2): 248-262, www.econjournals.com.
- 19. Pachauri, S. and Spreng, D. (2003). "Energy Use and Energy Access in Relation to Poverty". Center for Energy Policy and Economics: Zurich, Switzerland. Working Paper, 25.
- 20. Sambo, A. S. (2005). 'Renewable Energy for Rural Development: The Nigerian Perspective'. Journal of ISESCO Science and Technology. 1(2): 12-22.
- 21. Staton, D.M and Harding M.H. (2011). Health and environmental effects of cooking stove use in developing countries. Cited 22-11-2011. http://www.bioenergylists.org/stoves doc/Environment/staton.pdf.
- 22. Stone, J. R. N. (1954). "Linear Expenditure Systems and Demand Analysis: An Application to the Pattern of British Demand." Economic Journal 64 (5): 11-27.
- 23. Varian, H. R. (1992). Microeconomic Analysis. New York, NY: W.W. Norton & Company, Inc.
- 24. Viegi, G, Simoni, M, Scognamiglio, A, Baldacci, S, Pistelli, F, and Carrozzi, L, (2004). Indoor air pollution and airway disease. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis, 8(12):1401-15.
- 25. World Health Organisation. Country Health System Fact Sheet Nigeria (2006). http://www.afro.who.int/home/countries/fact_sheets/nigeria.pdf]. c2008-01.
- 26. Zelikoff, J.T, Chen, L.C, Cohen, M.D, Schlesinger, R.B. (2002). The toxicology of inhaled woodsmoke. J Toxicol Environ Health B Crit Rev., 5(3):269-272.